

# **Audit**



# **Report**

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

**DEFENSE BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE  
BUDGET DATA FOR THE REALIGNMENT OF  
MARCH AIR FORCE BASE, RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA**

Report No. 96-137

May 31, 1996

**Department of Defense**

### **Additional Copies**

To obtain additional copies of the audit report, contact the Secondary Reports Distribution Unit of the Analysis, Planning, and Technical Support Directorate at (703) 604-8937 (DSN 664-8937) or FAX (703) 604-8932.

### **Suggestions for Future Audits**

To suggest ideas for or to request future audits, contact the Planning and Coordination Branch of the Analysis, Planning, and Technical Support Directorate at (703) 604-8939 (DSN 664-8939) or FAX (703) 604-8932. Ideas and requests can also be mailed to:

OAIG-AUD (ATTN: APTS Audit Suggestions)  
Inspector General, Department of Defense  
400 Army Navy Drive (Room 801)  
Arlington, Virginia 22202-2884

### **Defense Hotline**

To report fraud, waste, or abuse, contact the Defense Hotline by calling (800) 424-9098; by sending an electronic message to [Hotline@DODIG.OSD.MIL](mailto:Hotline@DODIG.OSD.MIL); or by writing the Defense Hotline, The Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20301-1900. The identity of each writer and caller is fully protected.

### **Acronyms**

AFB  
BRAC  
MILCON  
SIOH

Air Force Base  
Base Realignment and Closure  
Military Construction  
Supervision, Inspection, and Overhead



**INSPECTOR GENERAL  
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
400 ARMY NAVY DRIVE  
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22202-2884**



May 31, 1996

**MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (COMPTROLLER)  
ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE  
(FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND COMPTROLLER)**

**SUBJECT: Audit Report on Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for  
the Realignment of March Air Force Base, Riverside, California  
(Report No. 96-137)**

We are providing this audit report for information and use. This report is one in a series of reports about FY 1997 Defense base realignment and closure military construction costs. Management comments on a draft of this report were considered in preparing the final report.

As a result of Air Force comments, we revised Recommendation 2.b. Comments on a draft of this report conformed to the requirements of DoD Directive 7650.3 and left no unresolved issues. No additional comments are required.

We appreciate the courtesies extended to the audit staff. Questions on the audit should be directed to Mr. Garold E. Stephenson, Audit Program Director, at (703) 604-9332 (DSN 664-9332) or Mr. John M. Gregor, Audit Project Manager, at (703) 604-9219 (DSN 664-9219). See Appendix F for the report distribution. The audit team members are listed inside the back cover.

*David K. Steensma*

David K. Steensma  
Deputy Assistant Inspector General  
for Auditing

## Office of the Inspector General, DoD

**Report No. 96-137**  
(Project No. 6CG-5001.27)

**May 31, 1996**

### **Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Realignment of March Air Force Base, Riverside, California**

#### **Executive Summary**

**Introduction.** This report is one in a series of reports about FY 1997 Defense base realignment and closure military construction costs. Public Law 102-190, "National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993," December 5, 1991, directs the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the amount of the authorization that DoD requested for each military construction project associated with Defense base realignment and closure does not exceed the original estimated cost provided to the Commission on Defense Base Closure and Realignment (the Commission). If the requested budget amounts exceed the original project cost estimates provided to the Commission, the Secretary of Defense is required to explain to Congress the reasons for the differences. The Office of the Inspector General, DoD, is required to review each Defense base realignment and closure military construction project for which a significant difference exists from the original cost estimate and to provide the results of the review to the congressional Defense committees. Our audits include all projects at locations where total projects were valued at more than \$1 million.

**Audit Objectives.** The overall audit objective was to determine the accuracy of Defense base realignment and closure military construction budget data. This report provides the results of the audit of two projects, valued at \$1.75 million, for the realignment of March Air Force Base, Riverside, California.

**Audit Results.** The Air Force overestimated the requirements for projects PCZP959004, "Isolate Utilities and Construct Perimeter Security Fence," and PCZP959006, "Alterations to Supply Administration and Communications." As a result, the Air Force overstated project costs by \$160,000.

See Part I for a discussion of the audit results. See Appendix D for a summary of invalid and partially valid requirements for the projects we reviewed.

**Summary of Recommendations.** We recommend that the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) place the two projects on administrative withhold until management submits a revised DD Form 1391 for each project. We also recommend that the Commander, Headquarters, Air Force Reserve, Warner Robins, Georgia, reduce budget estimates by \$160,000 and submit a revised DD Form 1391 to reflect valid Defense base realignment and closure requirements and costs for each project.

**Management Comments.** The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) agreed with the recommendations and will place the funds associated with the two projects at issue on administrative withhold pending resolution and reprogram funds to other Defense base realignment and closure requirements. The Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Financial Management and Comptroller) concurred with the recommendations and submitted revised DD Forms 1391 for projects PCZP959004, "Isolate Utilities and Construct Perimeter Security Fence," and PCZP959006, "Alterations to Supply Administration and Communications," that reflect budget estimates based on actual contract amounts. See Part I for a summary of management comments, and see Part III for the complete text of management comments.

**Audit Response.** As a result of the Air Force comments, we revised report Recommendation 2.b. to reduce budget estimates for the two projects. The amount of the reduction is \$160,000, a decrease of \$189,000 from the amount originally identified in the draft report. Management comments are considered responsive to the recommendations and no additional comments are needed. We have written a separate memorandum requesting the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to release funds for the basic projects and to reprogram \$160,000 for other Defense base realignment and closure requirements.

# Table of Contents

---

<b>Executive Summary</b>	i
<b>Part I - Audit Results</b>	
Audit Background	2
Audit Objectives	2
Validity of Project Cost Estimates	3
<b>Part II - Additional Information</b>	
Appendix A. Scope and Methodology	10
Appendix B. Summary of Prior Audits and Other Reviews	11
Appendix C. Background of Defense Base Realignment and Closure and Scope of the Audit of FY 1997 Defense Base Realignment and Closure Military Construction Costs	13
Appendix D. Projects Identified as Invalid or Partially Valid	15
Appendix E. Organizations Visited or Contacted	16
Appendix F. Report Distribution	17
<b>Part III - Management Comments</b>	
Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) Comments	20
Department of the Air Force Comments	21

## **Part I - Audit Results**

### Audit Background

The Office of the Inspector General, DoD, is performing various audits of the Defense base realignment and closure (BRAC) process. This report is one in a series of reports about FY 1997 BRAC military construction (MILCON) costs. For additional information on the BRAC process and the overall scope of the audit of BRAC MILCON costs, see Appendix C. See Appendix D for a summary of invalid and partially valid requirements for the project we reviewed.

### Audit Objectives

The overall audit objective was to determine the accuracy of BRAC MILCON budget data. The specific objectives were to determine whether the proposed project was a valid BRAC requirement, whether the decision for MILCON was supported with required documentation including an economic analysis, and whether the economic analysis considered existing facilities. Another objective was to assess the adequacy of the management control program as it applied to the overall audit objective.

This report provides the results of the audit of two projects, valued at \$1.75 million, for the realignment of March Air Force Base (AFB), Riverside, California. See Appendix A for a discussion of the scope and methodology and Appendix B for a summary of prior coverage related to the audit objectives. The management control program objective will be discussed in a summary report on FY 1997 BRAC MILCON budget data. The following table describes the projects that this audit reviewed.

Table 1. BRAC MILCON Projects Reviewed			
<u>Project Number</u>	<u>Project Location</u>	<u>Description</u>	DD Form 1391 Amount (millions)
PCZP959004	March AFB	Isolate Utilities and Construct Perimeter Security Fence	\$1.35
PCZP959006	March AFB	Alterations to Supply Administration and Communications	<u>0.40</u>
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$1.75</b>



---

## **Validity of Project Cost Estimates**

The Air Force overestimated the requirements for projects PCZP959004, "Isolate Utilities and Construct Perimeter Security Fence," and PCZP959006, "Alterations to Supply Administration and Communications." The Air Force overestimated the requirements because it could not support the reported requirements and it included part of a requirement in another construction project. As a result, the Air Force overstated project costs by \$160,000.

## **Realignment**

The 1993 Commission on Defense Base Closure and Realignment recommended realignment of March AFB from an active to a reserve base. As a result of the realignment, March AFB will excess about 5,000 acres of land and accompanying buildings to the local community for reuse. The two projects are required for the smaller cantonment area for the Air Force Reserve and other DoD tenant organizations.

The purpose of project PCZP959004, "Isolate Utilities and Construct Perimeter Security Fence," valued at \$1.35 million, was to isolate utilities in the retained areas and to establish a perimeter security fence and main gate. March AFB originally estimated that the project would cost about \$2.25 million. In October 1995, Headquarters, Air Force Reserve, revised the cost estimate to \$1.35 million because of reductions in requirements.

The Air Force working estimate for the perimeter security fence consisted of the construction of perimeter fences around the new cantonment area and several areas outside the cantonment area (the communications building, buildings 2640 and 2641, the small arms range, and an antenna farm), a gate on Riverside Avenue, a west gate, a west gate detour, and a change to Baucom Avenue. The current working estimate, totaling \$1.259 million, was a 90-percent design cost estimate.

In January 1996, the Army Corps of Engineers opened bids for construction of the gates, the change to Baucom Avenue, and the fence around the antenna farm. The low bid including administrative costs for that part of the work was \$593,000. The Air Force planned to award its own contract for the remainder of the fence construction, which was estimated at \$681,000.

### Project PCZP959004

**Perimeter Security Fence Requirements.** The Air Force overestimated the perimeter fence requirements because it could not support the fence requirements for the communications building and the small arms range, valued at \$52,000.

**Communications Building.** Building 2620 housed the base telephone switch and message center. March AFB had a requirement for a fence around the communications building because the building was located outside the new cantonment area and processed classified messages. However, the Air Force decided to excess the building to the local community after the Air Force contracted for the operation and maintenance of the telephone switch for the base. The message center was moved to another building located inside the new cantonment area. As a result, a requirement for a fence was no longer valid. The estimated cost of the communications fence was \$28,000.

**Small Arms Range.** We observed that the small arms range facility had an existing fence. March AFB personnel stated that the existing fence was adequate. Therefore, a requirement for a fence was not valid. The estimated cost of the arms range fence was \$24,000.

**Isolation of Utilities and Metering.** The Air Force personnel could not provide any supporting documentation to validate the cost of isolation of utilities and metering. The DD Form 1391 erroneously showed the estimated cost of that portion of the project to be \$1,116,000. The DD Form 1391 should have showed \$96,000, which was the amount shown on the DD Form 1391 for construction of the perimeter fence. Air Force personnel stated that the amounts were apparently reversed by mistake on the DD Form 1391. The Air Force could not support the \$96,000 for isolation of utilities and metering because the Air Force and the local community have not determined what changes are needed in the utilities and metering system.

**Contingency Factor and Supervision, Inspection, and Overhead Cost.** The DD Form 1391 identified estimates of \$61,000 for a 5-percent contingency and \$76,000 for supervision, inspection, and overhead (SIOH) costs. A contingency factor of 5 percent is included on the DD Form 1391 for MILCON projects involving new construction. The contingency amount was overstated by \$7,000 because of the invalid fencing requirements and the unsupported requirement for isolation of utilities and metering. The SIOH cost represented the 6-percent fee that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers charged for administering the fence project. However, the DD Form 1391 should reflect only the SIOH cost associated with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' contract. The estimated SIOH cost for the Army Corps of Engineers' contract is \$30,000. The estimated cost for SIOH on the DD Form 1391 was overstated by \$46,000.

**Revised Cost Estimate.** During the audit, the project cost of the perimeter security fence increased by \$64,000. The project cost increased from the

\$1,116,000 reported in the original DD Form 1391 to \$1,180,000 to reflect actual contract bid amounts. Contingency and SIOH costs added another \$7,000 to the project estimate.

**Revised Project Data Needed.** The Air Force should submit a revised DD Form 1391 that reduces the scope of the project from about \$1.35 million to about \$1.2 million for the construction of a perimeter security fence. The following table describes the revised project cost estimate based on the deletion of the invalid or unsupported requirements and the cost increases based on actual bid cost data.

<b>Table 2. Cost Estimate for Perimeter Security Fence Construction Project</b>			
	<u>Cost Estimate</u>	<u>Invalid Requirements</u>	<u>Change Based on Actual Bid Cost</u>
Perimeter security fence	\$1,116,000	\$ 52,000	\$ 64,000
Isolation of utilities and metering	96,000	96,000	
Contingency (5 percent)	61,000	7,000	3,000
SIOH	76,000	46,000	4,000
<b>Original DD Form 1391</b>	<b>\$1,349,000</b>	<b>\$201,000</b>	<b>\$71,000</b>
Less: Invalid Requirements	(201,000)		
	\$1,148,000		
Plus: Bid Cost Increase	71,000		
<b>Revised DD Form 1391</b>	<b>\$1,219,000</b>		
Rounded	\$1,200,000*		
<b>Overstated Cost</b>	<b>\$ 130,000</b>		

\*The Air Force rounded to the nearest hundred thousand.

## Project PCZP959006

The Air Force overestimated requirements for the supply administration and communications project, valued at \$400,000. The cost estimate for the project consisted of \$216,000 for alterations to the supply administration building, \$126,000 for alterations for the base message center, \$34,000 for a 10-percent contingency, and about \$23,000 for SIOH. A contingency factor of 10 percent is included on the DD Form 1391 for MILCON projects involving alterations.

**Supply Administration Building.** The alterations to the supply administration building were necessary to house the travel management office and to

## Validity of Project Cost Estimates

consolidate logistics functions for the 452nd Air Mobility Wing, Air National Guard, and other tenant organizations at the realigned base. During the audit, the project cost for the alterations increased by \$102,000 from \$216,000 to \$318,000. We determined that the alterations to the supply administration building were valid and adequately supported.

**Base Message Center.** The Air Force overestimated project requirements because it included part of a requirement in another construction project. Building 2404, which is inside the new cantonment area, required certain alterations to house the base message center. Headquarters, Air Force Reserve, included \$80,000 for the alterations in project PCZP970008, "Alterations to Communications Facility," because the alterations had to be completed by April 1, 1996; however, the Air Force did not delete the requirement from project PCZP959006. As of February 1996, work on the alterations to building 2404 for the message center was underway. Therefore, the cost estimate of \$126,000 for the alterations in project PCZP959006 was not valid and should be deleted.

**Revised Project Data.** The Air Force overstated project costs by \$30,000. The Air Force should submit a revised DD Form 1391 that decreases the scope of the project from about \$400,000 to \$370,000 for alterations to the supply administration building. The following table describes the revised project cost estimate based on deletions of the invalid requirements and the cost estimate based on actual bid cost data.

**Table 3. Cost Estimate for Alterations to the Supply Administration Building**

	Cost Estimate (thousands)	Invalid Requirements (thousands)	Change Based on Actual Bid Cost (thousands)
Supply administration building	\$216	\$ 0	\$102
Base message center	126	126	0
Contingency (10 percent)	34	12	10
SIOH	23	9	6
<b>Original DD Form 1391</b>	<b>\$399</b>	<b>\$147</b>	<b>\$118</b>
Less: Invalid Requirements	(147)		
	252		
Plus: Bid Cost Increase	118		
<b>Revised DD Form 1391</b>	<b>370</b>		
<b>Overstated Cost</b>	<b>\$ 30*</b>		

\*Rounded.

## **Recommendations, Management Comments, and Audit Response**

**Revised Finding and Recommendation.** In response to the draft of this report, the Air Force prepared revised DD Forms 1391 that reduced the budget estimates for project PCZP959004, "Isolate Utilities and Construct Perimeter Security Fence," by \$130,000 and project PCZP959006, "Alterations to Supply Administration and Communications," by \$30,000. The revised DD Forms 1391 deleted the unsupported requirements as recommended in the draft report and adjusted the project costs to reflect actual contract bid amounts. As a result of Air Force comments, we revised the finding and Recommendation 2.b. to reflect budget estimates that were revised based on actual construction contract amounts.

**1. We recommend that the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) place project PCZP959004, "Isolate Utilities and Construct Perimeter Security Fence," and project PCZP959006, "Alterations to Supply Administration and Communications," on administrative withhold, until management submits a revised DD Form 1391, "FY 1997 Military Construction Project Data," for each project to accurately reflect requirements and costs.**

**Management Comments.** The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) generally agreed with the audit findings and recommendations and will place the funds associated with the two projects at issue on administrative withhold pending resolution. The Under Secretary stated that savings resulting from the audit will be reprogrammed to other Defense base realignment and closure requirements as appropriate.

**2. We recommend that the Commander, Headquarters, Air Force Reserve:**

**a. Submit a revised DD Form 1391, "FY 1997 Military Construction Project Data," for project PCZP959004, "Isolate Utilities and Construct Perimeter Security Fence," and project PCZP959006, "Alterations to Supply Administration and Communications," that reflects valid Defense base realignment and closure requirements and costs, and**

**b. Correspondingly reduce budget estimates for project PCZP959004, "Isolate Utilities and Construct Perimeter Security Fence," by \$130,000 and project PCZP959006, "Alterations to Supply Administration and Communications," by \$30,000.**

**Management Comments.** The Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Financial Management and Comptroller) concurred with the recommendations and submitted revised DD Forms 1391 based on actual construction contract amounts.

## Validity of Project Cost Estimates

---

**Audit Response.** Based on management comments, we revised the recommended reductions in the budget estimates for projects PCZP959004 and PCZP959006 to be \$130,000 and \$30,000, respectively. The management comments are considered responsive to the revised recommendations and no additional comments are required. We have written a separate memorandum requesting the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to release funds for the basic projects and to reprogram \$160,000 for other Defense base realignment and closure requirements.

## **Part II - Additional Information**

---

## Appendix A. Scope and Methodology

**Scope of This Audit.** We examined the FY 1997 BRAC MILCON budget request and supporting documentation for the construction of a perimeter security fence and isolation of utilities at March Air Force Base, Riverside, California. Project PCZP959004, "Isolate Utilities and Construct Perimeter Security Fence," was estimated to cost \$1.35 million. We also examined the FY 1997 BRAC MILCON budget request and supporting documentation for alterations to supply administration and communications buildings at March Air Force Base, Riverside, California. Project PCZP959006, "Alterations to Supply Administration and Communications," was estimated to cost \$400,000.

**Audit Period, Standards, and Locations.** This economy and efficiency audit was performed from February through April 1996 in accordance with auditing standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States as implemented by the Inspector General, DoD. The audit did not rely on computer-processed data or statistical sampling procedures. Appendix E lists the organizations visited or contacted during the audit.



---

## Appendix B. Summary of Prior Audits and Other Reviews

Since 1991, numerous audit reports have addressed DoD BRAC issues. This appendix lists the summary reports for the audits of BRAC budget data for FYs 1992 through 1996 and BRAC audit reports published since the summary reports.

### Inspector General, DoD

<u>Report No.</u>	<u>Report Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
96-136	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Closure of Gentile Air Force Station, Dayton, Ohio, and Realignment of Defense Logistics Agency Components to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio	May 31, 1996
96-135	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Fleet Anti-Submarine Warfare Training Center Pacific, San Diego, California	May 30, 1996
96-131	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for Realigning Elements of Headquarters, Department of the Navy, to the Washington Navy Yard	May 28, 1996
96-127	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Closure of Roslyn Air National Guard Base and Realignments to Stewart Air National Guard Base, New York	May 23, 1996
96-126	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Realignment of Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base, Ohio	May 21, 1996
96-122	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Realignment of the Air Education and Training Command at Vandenberg Air Force Base, California	May 17, 1996
96-119	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Construction of a Multiple Purpose Facility at Fort McCoy, Wisconsin	May 14, 1996

## Appendix B. Summary of Prior Audits and Other Reviews

---

### Inspector General, DoD (cont'd)

<u>Report No.</u>	<u>Report Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
96-118	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Medical and Dental Clinic Expansion Project at Naval Weapons Station Charleston, South Carolina	May 13, 1996
96-116	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Relocation of Deployable Medical Systems to Hill Air Force Base, Ogden, Utah	May 10, 1996
96-112	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Closure of Naval Air Station Cecil Field, Florida, and Realignment of the Aviation Physiology Training Unit to Naval Air Station Jacksonville, Florida	May 7, 1996
96-108	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	May 6, 1996
96-104	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Construction of the Overwater Antenna Test Range Facility at Newport, Rhode Island	April 26, 1996
96-101	Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for the Closure of Naval Air Station Barbers Point, Hawaii, and Realignment of P-3 Aircraft Squadrons to Naval Air Station Whidbey Island, Washington	April 26, 1996
96-093	Summary Report on the Audit of Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for FYs 1995 and 1996	April 3, 1996
94-040	Summary Report on the Audit of Defense Base Closure and Realignment Budget Data for FYs 1993 and 1994	February 14, 1994
93-100	Summary Report on the Audit of Defense Base Closure and Realignment Budget Data for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993	May 25, 1993

---

## **Appendix C. Background of Defense Base Realignment and Closure and Scope of the Audit of FY 1997 Defense Base Realignment and Closure Military Construction Costs**

**Commission on Defense Base Closure and Realignment.** On May 3, 1988, the Secretary of Defense chartered the Commission on Defense Base Closure and Realignment (the Commission) to recommend military installations for realignment and closure. Congress passed Public Law 100-526, "Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act," October 24, 1988, which enacted the Commission's recommendations. The law also established the Defense Base Closure Account to fund any necessary facility renovation or MILCON projects associated with BRAC. Public Law 101-510, "Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990," November 5, 1990, reestablished the Commission. The law also chartered the Commission to meet during calendar years 1991, 1993, and 1995 to verify that the process for realigning and closing military installations was timely and independent. In addition, the law stipulates that realignment and closure actions must be completed within 6 years after the President transmits the recommendations to Congress.

**Required Defense Reviews of BRAC Estimates.** Public Law 102-190, "National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993," December 5, 1991, states that the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the authorization amount that DoD requested for each MILCON project associated with BRAC actions does not exceed the original estimated cost provided to the Commission. Public Law 102-190 also states that the Inspector General, DoD, must evaluate significant increases in BRAC MILCON project costs over the estimated costs provided to the Commission and send a report to the congressional Defense committees.

**Military Department BRAC Cost-Estimating Process.** To develop cost estimates for the Commission, the Military Departments used the Cost of Base Realignment Actions computer model. The Cost of Base Realignment Actions computer model uses standard cost factors to convert the suggested BRAC options into dollar values to provide a way to compare the different options. After the President and Congress approve the BRAC actions, DoD realigning activity officials prepare a DD Form 1391, "FY 1997 Military Construction Project Data," for each individual MILCON project required to accomplish the realigning actions. The Cost of Base Realignment Actions computer model provides cost estimates as a realignment and closure package for a particular realigning or closing base. The DD Form 1391 provides specific cost estimates for an individual BRAC MILCON project.

**Limitations and Expansion to Overall Audit Scope.** Because the Cost of Base Realignment Actions computer model develops cost estimates as a BRAC package and not for individual BRAC MILCON projects, we were unable to determine the amount of cost increases for each individual BRAC MILCON

## **Appendix C. Background of Defense Base Realignment and Closure and Scope of the Audit of FY 1997 Defense Base Realignment and Closure Military Construction Costs**

---

project. Additionally, because of prior audit efforts that determined potential problems with all BRAC MILCON projects, our audit objectives included all large BRAC MILCON projects.

**Overall Audit Selection Process.** We reviewed the FY 1997 BRAC MILCON \$820.8 million budget submitted by the Military Departments and the Defense Logistics Agency. We excluded projects that were previously reviewed by DoD audit organizations. We grouped the remaining BRAC MILCON projects by location and selected groups of projects that totaled at least \$1 million for each group. We also reviewed those FY 1996 BRAC MILCON projects that were not included in the previous FY 1996 budget submission, but were added as part of the FY 1997 BRAC MILCON budget package.

## Appendix D. Projects Identified as Invalid or Partially Valid

**Table D-1. Causes of Invalid or Partially Valid Projects**

<u>Project Location</u>	<u>Project Number</u>	<u>Causes of Invalid Projects</u>		<u>Causes of Partially Valid Projects</u>	
		<u>Overstated</u>	<u>Unsupported</u>	<u>Overstated</u>	<u>Unsupported</u>
March AFB	PCZP959004				X
	PCZP959006			X	

**Table D-2. Recommended Changes in Project Estimates**

<u>Project Location</u>	<u>Project Number</u>	<u>Amount of Estimate on DD Form 1391 (thousands)</u>	<u>Recommended Amount of Change</u>	
			<u>Invalid Projects (thousands)</u>	<u>Partially Valid Projects (thousands)</u>
March AFB	PCZP959004	\$1,350		\$130
	PCZP959006	<u>\$ 400</u>		<u>\$ 30</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$1,750</b>		<b>\$160</b>

<b>Total Invalid and Partially Valid Projects</b>	<b>\$160</b>
---	--------------

---

## **Appendix E. Organizations Visited or Contacted**

### **Office of the Secretary of Defense**

Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), Washington, DC

### **Department of the Army**

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, March Air Force Base, Riverside, CA

### **Department of the Air Force**

Air Combat Command, Langley Air Force Base, VA

Air Force Base Conversion Agency Command, Arlington, VA

Air Force Base Conversion Agency, March Air Force Base, Riverside, CA

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Installations), Base Transition Division,  
Washington, DC

Headquarters, Air Force Reserve, Warner Robins, GA

March Air Force Base, Riverside, CA

---

## **Appendix F. Report Distribution**

### **Office of the Secretary of Defense**

Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology  
Director, Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange  
Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)  
Deputy Chief Financial Officer  
Deputy Comptroller (Program/Budget)  
Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Industrial Affairs and Installations)  
Principal Assistant Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Industrial Affairs and Installations)  
Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Public Affairs)

### **Department of the Army**

Auditor General, Department of the Army

### **Department of the Navy**

Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Financial Management and Comptroller)  
Auditor General, Department of the Navy

### **Department of the Air Force**

Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Financial Management and Comptroller)  
Commander, Headquarters, Air Force Reserve  
Commander, March Air Force Base  
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Installations), Base Transition Division  
Auditor General, Department of the Air Force

### **Other Defense Organizations**

Director, Defense Contract Audit Agency  
Director, Defense Logistics Agency  
Director, National Security Agency  
Inspector General, National Security Agency  
Inspector General, Defense Intelligence Agency

## **Non-Defense Federal Organizations and Individuals**

Office of Management and Budget  
Technical Information Center, National Security and International Affairs Division,  
General Accounting Office

Chairman and ranking minority member of each of the following congressional committees and subcommittees:

Senate Committee on Appropriations  
Senate Subcommittee on Defense, Committee on Appropriations  
Senate Subcommittee on Military Construction, Committee on Appropriations  
Senate Committee on Armed Services  
Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs  
House Committee on Appropriations  
House Subcommittee on Military Construction, Committee on Appropriations  
House Subcommittee on National Security, Committee on Appropriations  
House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight  
House Subcommittee on National Security, International Affairs, and Criminal  
Justice, Committee on Government Reform and Oversight  
House Committee on National Security

Honorable Barbara Boxer, U.S. Senate  
Honorable Dianne Feinstein, U.S. Senate  
Honorable Sonny Bono, U.S. House of Representatives



## **Part III - Management Comments**

# Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) Comments



COMPTROLLER  
(Program/Budget)

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE  
1100 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1100



May 9, 1996

MEMORANDUM FOR ASSISTANT INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR AUDITING, DOD IG

SUBJECT: DoD IG Quick-Reaction Report on Defense Base Realignment and  
Closure Budget Data for the Realignment of March Air Force Base,  
Riverside, California (Project No. 6CG-5001.27)

This responds to your April 19, 1996, memorandum requesting our comments on the  
subject report.

The audit states that the Air Force overstated the space requirements and costs for  
projects PCZP95004, "Isolate Utilities and Construct Perimeter Security Fence," and  
PCZP95006, "Alterations to Supply Administration and Communications." The audit contends  
that the Air Force could not support the requirements in the DD 1391 form and included part of  
a requirement in another construction project.

This audit recommends that the USD(Comptroller) place the two projects on  
administrative withhold until the Air Force submits revised DD 1391 forms that accurately reflect  
requirements and costs.

We generally agree with the audit findings and recommendations and will place the funds  
associated with the two projects at issue on administrative withhold pending resolution. Further,  
any savings resulting from the audit will be reprogrammed to other Base Realignment and  
Closure requirements as appropriate.

  
B. R. Paseur

Director for Construction

# Department of the Air Force Comments

Final Report  
Reference



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
WASHINGTON DC 20330-1000

30 April 1996

MEMORANDUM FOR The Assistant Inspector General for Auditing  
Office of the Inspector General  
Department of Defense

FROM: SAF/MIIT  
1660 Air Force Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20330-1660

SUBJECT: Quick Reaction Report on Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for  
the Realignment of March AFB, California, April 19, 1996 (6CG-5001.27)

This is in reply to your memorandum requesting the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force  
(Financial Management and Comptroller) provide Air Force comments on subject report.

Your report recommends that the BRAC MILCON project for a perimeter security fence  
(PCZP-959004) be reduced by deleting the fence around the old Communications facility (2620)  
and the small arms range. It also recommended that the utility isolation portion of this project be  
deleted. Your second recommendation was to delete the proposed message center alteration  
from BRAC MILCON project "Alter Supply Administration" (PCZP-959006). You requested  
revised DD Forms 1391's reflecting the above actions.

We CONCUR. The referenced fence and utility items have already been deleted and  
actual Contract bids received. The actual costs with Corps of Engineers normal "mark-ups" are  
\$592,744 for the Corps and \$681,000.00 for the Reserves. The message center has been deleted  
from the supply project and actual contract price is \$370,645.00. Funding should not be placed  
on withhold as revised DD Form 1391's are attached and actual construction contract amounts  
are now available. Our POC is Mr Lester R. Schauer, DSN: 227-6559.

\*

*Michael D. Callaghan*  
Michael D. Callaghan, Col, USAF  
Chief, Base Transition Division

Attachment:  
DD 1391 (2 ea)

cc:  
SAF/FMBIC  
SAF/MIIT  
AFRES/XP/CE/REX

\*The attachment referred to in the Air Force comments was replaced by a more  
current DD Form 1391. This report includes the revised form on page 24.

# Department of the Air Force Comments

1. COMPONENT		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE			
MARCH AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SUPPLY ADMIN			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55396F	420-000	PCZP959006	370		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SUPPLY ADMIN	LS			318	
ALTER SUPPLY ADMIN	LS			(318)	
SUBTOTAL				318	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				32	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				350	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				21	
TOTAL REQUEST				371	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				370	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter facilities to meet new requirements. Includes all necessary modifications to utilities and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Alter facilities for Supply Administration, Travel Management Office (TMO). REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Adequately and appropriately altered space is required to consolidate logistics functions for the 452nd Air Mobility Wing (AW), Air National Guard, and tenants. CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of March AFB the Air Force Reserve will consolidate into a cantonment area. There are facilities within the cantonment area that can be altered to meet the requirements of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the alterations provided by this project, the 452nd AMW will not be able to properly sustain their mission at March AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

DD FORM 1391, DEC 76      Previous editions are obsolete.      Page No



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

WASHINGTON DC 20330-1000

13 May 1996

MEMORANDUM FOR The Assistant Inspector General for Auditing  
Office of the Inspector General  
Department of Defense

FROM: SAF/MIIT  
1660 Air Force Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20330-1660

SUBJECT: Quick Reaction Report on Defense Base Realignment and Closure Budget Data for  
the Realignment of March AFB, California, April 19, 1996 (6CG-5001.27)

This is in reply to telecon between your Ms. Vonna Swigart and our Mr. Schauer on the  
subject report.

A revised document for PCZP959004, Perimeter Security Fence is attached, verifying the  
"break out" of costs for the corps of Engineers portion and base portion. SIOH costs will not be  
provided for the base portion of the MILCON..

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Lester R. Schauer".

Lester R. Schauer  
Chief, BRAC MILCON

Attachment:  
DD 1391

cc:  
AFRES/XP/CE/REX

# Department of the Air Force Comments

1. COMPONENT		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
USAFR					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MARCH AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			BASE CLOSURE- PERIMETER SECURITY FENCE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55396F	800-100	PCZP959004	1,200		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-PERIMETER SECURITY FENCE	LS			1,100	
PERIMETER SECURITY FENCE/GATEHOUSE				(1,100)	
SUBTOTAL				1,100	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				55	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,155	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				69	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,224	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,200	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a new perimeter fence to serve the Air Force Reserve cantonment area.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROPOSED:</u> Construct perimeter security fence/gatehouse. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Realignment of March AFB, CA. A perimeter security fence is required to contain and protect the cantonment area. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Upon realignment of March AFB, the Air Force Reserve will consolidate into a cantonment area. The existing base perimeter is much larger than the proposed cantonment, which makes a new perimeter essential. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without the security fencing provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to properly secure and sustain their mission at March AFB. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". The work will be accomplished thru a Corps of Engineers line item at \$593K and thru a Base contracting line item at \$629K.					

## **Audit Team Members**

This report was prepared by the Contract Management Directorate, Office of the Assistant Inspector General for Auditing, DoD.

Paul J. Granetto  
Garold E. Stephenson  
John M. Gregor  
Stephanie J. Waker  
Vonna D. Swigart  
Noelle G. Blank